## Statistical methods in recognition

- Basic steps in classifier design
- Collect training data
- Choose a classification model
- Statistical
- Linguistic
- Estimate "parameters" of classification model from training images
- Learning
- Evaluate model on training data and refine
- Collect test data set
- Apply classifier to test data


## Factors that should influence our

## decision

- How likely is it that a person weighs 125 pounds given that the person is a male? Is a female?
- Class-conditional probabilities

How likely is it that an arbitrary person is a male? A female?
-Prior class probabilities
-What are the costs of calling a male a female?
A female a male?
-Risks

## Why is classification a problem?

- Because classes overlap in our (impoverished) representations
- Example: Classify a person as a male or female based on weight
- Male training set : $\{155,122,135,160,240,220,180$, 145\}
- Female training set: $\{95,132,115,124,145,110,150\}$
- Unknown sample has weight 125. Male or female?



## Basic approaches to statistical

 classification1. Build (parametric) probabilistic models of our training data, and compute the probability that an unknown sample belongs to each of our possible classes using these models.
2. Compare an unknown sample directly to each member of the training set, looking for the training element "most similar" to the unknown. Nearest neighbor classification
3. Train a neural network to recognize unknown samples by "teaching it" how to correctly train the elements of the training set.


## Primer on probability

The relative frequencies of the outcomes
1,2,3 are
$-\mathrm{N}_{20}(1) / 20=.25 \quad \mathrm{~N}_{20}(2) / 20=.40 \quad \mathrm{~N}_{20}(3) / 20=$ .35

- As n gets large, these numbers should settle down to fixed numbers $\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{p}_{2}, \mathrm{p}_{3}$
- We say $p_{i}$ is the probability that the $i^{\prime}$ th ball will be chosen when the experiment is performed once


## Primer on probability

- Suppose: we color balls $1, \ldots$, r red and balls $\mathrm{r}+1$, ., s
- What is the probability of choosing a red ball?
- Intuitively it is $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{s}=\Sigma \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}$ where the sum is over all $\omega_{k}$ such that the $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ th ball is red


## Primer on probability

- Assigning probabilities to events:

$$
P(B)=\sum_{\omega k \in B} p_{k}
$$

- A probability measure on a set $\Omega$ of possible outcomes is a real valued function having domain
- Let A be the subset of possible outcomes, $\omega_{\mathrm{k}}$, such that k is red.
$2^{\Omega}$ satisfying
- A has r points
- A is called an event
- When we say that A has occurred we mean that an experiment has been run and the outcome is represented by a point in A .
- If A and B are events, then so are $\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{A} \cup \mathrm{B}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{c}}$
$-\mathrm{P}(\Omega)=1$
$-0<=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})<=1$, for all $A \subset \Omega$

$$
P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{k} A_{n}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{k} P\left(A_{n}\right)
$$

## Primer on probability

- Simple properties of probabilities
$-\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)=1-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})$
- $\mathrm{P}(\varnothing)=1-\mathrm{P}(\Omega)=1-1=0$
- if A is a subset of B , then $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})<=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})$

- $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cup \mathrm{B})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{B})$


## Primer on probability

- Let A and B be two events such that $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})>0$.

Then the conditional probability that B occurs
given A written $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A})$ is defined to be
$P(B \mid A)=\frac{P(B \cap A)}{D(A)}$

- Conditional probabilities
- Our box has r red balls labeled $1, \ldots, r$ and $b$ black balls labeled $\mathrm{r}+1, \ldots, \mathrm{r}+\mathrm{b}$. If the ball drawn is known to be red, what is the probability that its label is 1 ?
- A - event "red"
- B - event " 1 "
- interested in conditional probability of B knowing that A has occurred - $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A})$


## Primer on probability

- Recognition
- $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are mutually disjoint events with union $\Omega$ - think of the $A_{i}$ as the possible identities of an object
$-B$ is an event with $P(B)>0$
-think of B as an observable event, like the area of a component in an image
- $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{k}\right)$ are known, $\mathrm{k}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$
- $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is the probability that we would observe a component with area $B$ if the identify of the object is $A_{i}$
- $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ is the prior probability that an event is in class k .
- Question: What is $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}} \mid \mathrm{B}\right)$
- What we will really be after- the probability that the identity of the object is $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}$ given that we make measurements B


## Training - computing $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$

- Our training data is used to compute the $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$, where B is the vector of features we plan to use to classify unknown images in the classes $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}$
- B might be (area, perimeter, moments)


## Primer on probability - training

- When we have many random variables it is usually impractical to create a table of the values of $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ from our training set.
- Example
- 5 measurements
- quantize each to 50 possible values
- Then there are $50^{5}$ possible 5 -tuples we might observe in any element of the training set, and we would need to estimate this many probabilities to represent the conditional probability
- too few training samples
- too much storage required for the table



## Primer on probability

- Instead, it is usually assumed that $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ has some simple mathematical form
- uniform density function
- each $x_{i}$ takes on values only in the finite range $\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right]$
- $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ is constant for any realizable ( $\left.\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$
- for one random variable, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A})=1 /(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a})$ for $\mathrm{a}<=\mathrm{x}<=\mathrm{b}$ and 0 elsewhere
- Nor

$$
f(x)=n(x ; \mu, \sigma)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{2}}
$$

- In any case, once the parameters of the assumed density function are estimated, its goodness of fit should also be evaluated


## Primer on probability

- Density function is called the Gaussian function and the error function
- $\mu$ is called the locatic parameter
- $\sigma$ is called the scale parameter
- Generalization to multivariate density
functions
- mean vector
- covariance matrix


## Prior probabilities and their role

## in classification

$\checkmark$ Prior probabilities of each object class

- probabilities of the events: object is from class $\mathrm{i}\left(\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right)$
- Example
- two classes - A and B; two measurement outcomes: 0 and 1
- $\operatorname{prob}(0 \mid \mathrm{A})=.5, \operatorname{prob}(1 \mid \mathrm{A})=.5 ; \operatorname{prob}(0 \mid \mathrm{B})=.2$ $\operatorname{prob}(1 \mid \mathrm{B})=.8$
- Might guess that if we measure 0 we should decide that the class is A, but if we measure 1 we should decide B
- But suppose that $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A})=.10$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})=$
.90
- Out of 100 samples, 90 will be B's and 18 of these (20\% of those 90 ) will have measurement 0
- We will classify these incorrectly as A's
- Total error is $\mathrm{nP}(\mathrm{B}) \mathrm{P}(0 \mid \mathrm{B})$
- 10 of these samples will be A's and 5 of them will have measurement 0 - these we'll get right
- Total correct is $\mathrm{nP}(\mathrm{A}) \mathrm{P}(0 \mid \mathrm{A})$


## Prior probabilities

- So, how do we balance the effects of the prior probabilities and the class conditional probabilities?
- We want a rule that will make the fewest errors
- Errors in A proportional to $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x} \mid \mathrm{A})$
- Errors in B proportional to $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x} \mid \mathrm{B})$
- To minimize the number of errors choose A if $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{A}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x} \mid \mathrm{A})>$ $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x} \mid \mathrm{B})$; choose B otherwise
- The rule generalizes to many classes. Choose the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ such that $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x} \mid \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ is greatest.
- Of course, this is just Bayes' rule again



## Example - normal distributions

In the case of normal distributions, the decision boundaries that provide the Bayes error can be shown to be quadratic functions - quadratic curves for two dimensional probability density functions In the special case where the classes have the same covariance matrix, decision boundary is a linear function - classes can be separated by a hyperplane

## Bayes error

- The formula for $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C} \mid \mathrm{x})$ is

$$
P(C \mid x)=\frac{P(C) P(x \mid C)}{P(x)}
$$

- where
$P(x)=\sum P(C) P(x \mid C)$
is a normalization factor that is the same for all classes.
- To evaluate the performance of our decision rule we can calculate the probability of error probability that the sample is assigned to the wrong class.



## Adding risks

- Minimizing total number of errors does not take into account the cost of different types of errors
- Example: Screening X-rays for diagnosis - two classes - healthy and diseased
- two types of errors
- classifying a healthy patient as diseased - might lead to a human reviewing X-rays to verify computer classification
- classifying diseased patient as healthy-might allow disease to progress to more threatening level
- Technically, including costs in the decision rule is accomplished by modifying the a priori probabilities


## An example from image segmentation

- How do we know which groups of pixels in a digital image correspond to the objects or features to be analyzed?
- In some simple cases, objects may be uniformly darker or brighter than the background against which they appear
- Black characters imaged against the white background of a page
- High gradient magnitude points tend to lie on edges



## Image segmentation

- Ideally, object pixels would be black (0 intensity) and background pixels white (maximum intensity)
But this rarely happens
- pixels overlap regions from both the object and the background, yielding intensities between pure black and white - edge blur
- cameras introduce "noise" during imaging measurement "noise"



## Image segmentation by

## thresholding

- If the objects and background occupy different ranges of gray levels, we can correctly "mark" the object pixels by a process called thresholding:
- Let F(i,j) be the original, gray level image
$-\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$ is a binary image (pixels are either 0 or 1 ) created by thresholding $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$
- $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})=1$ if $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})<\mathrm{t}$
- $B(i, j)=0$ if $F(i, j)>=t$



## Thresholding - a heuristic

## algorithm

- Peak and valley method
- Find the two most prominent peaks of $h$
- g is a peak if $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{F}}(\mathrm{g})>\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{F}}(\mathrm{g} \pm \Delta \mathrm{g}), \Delta \mathrm{g}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{k}$
- Let $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ be the two highest peaks, with $g_{1}$ $<\mathrm{g}_{2}$
- Find the deepest valley, $g$, between $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ - g is the valley $\mathrm{if}_{\mathrm{F}}(\mathrm{g})<=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathrm{g}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ in $\left[\mathrm{g}_{1}, \mathrm{~g}_{2}\right]$
- Use g as the threshold



## Kullback information distance

- Now, if we hypothesize a threshold, t , then all of these unknown parameters can be approximated from the image histogram.
- Let $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{g})$ be the observed and normalized histogram
$-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{g})=$ percentage of pixels from image having
orav level_ -
$\begin{aligned} p_{o}(t) & =\sum_{g_{i}=0}^{t} f(g) \\ \mu_{o}(t) & =\sum f(g) g\end{aligned}$

$$
\mu_{\circ}(t)=\sum^{q=0} f(g) g
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
p_{b}(t)=1-p_{0}(t) \\
\mu_{b}(t)=\sum f(g) g
\end{array}
$$

## Kullback information distance

- So, for any hypothesized t, we can "predict" what the total normalized image histogram should be if our model (mixture of two Gaussians) is correct. $-P_{t}(g)=p_{0} f_{0}(g)+p_{b} f_{b}(g)$
- The total normalized image histogram is observed to be $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{g})$
- So, the question reduces to:
- determine a suitable way to measure the similarity of P and f
- then search for the $t$ that gives the highest similarity


## Kullback information distance

- A suitable similarity measure is the Kullback direc

$$
K(t)=\sum_{g=0}^{\max } f(g) \log \left[\frac{f(g)}{P_{t}(g)}\right]
$$

- If $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}$ matches f exactly, then each term of the sum is 0 and $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{t})$ takes on its minimal value of 0
- Gray levels where $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}$ and f disagree are penalized by the log term, weighted by the importance of that gray level (f(g))



## Nearest neighbor classifiers

- Can use the training set directly to classify objects from the test set.
- Compare the new object to every element of the training set
- need a measure of closeness between an object from the
traini

$$
D(x, y)=\Sigma \frac{\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{i}{ }^{2}}
$$

- Choose the class corresponding to the closest element from the training set
- Generalization - k nearest neighbors: find k nearest neighbors and perform a majority vote


## Nearest neighbor classification

- Computational problems
- Choosing a suitable similarity measurement
- Efficient algorithms for computing nearest neighbors
with large measurement sets (high dimensional spaces)
- k-d trees
- quadtrees
- but must use a suitable similarity measure
- Algorithms for "editing" the training set to produce a smaller set for comparisons
- clustering: replace similar elements with a single element
- removal: remove elements that are not chosen as nearest neighbors


