

## Breakout Session 3

(Brief) report

**Financial Information Workshop**

22<sup>nd</sup> July 2010

# General Research Issues (some)

- ▶ Where do data come from?
- ▶ What is the right level of detail required?
- ▶ Need for case studies (mortgage proposed)
- ▶ KR generally deals with sets and relations on sets
  - ★ need for more expressive power

# 1. Representation

- ▶ Consistent theory
- ▶ Formal representation of contracts
- ▶ Term sharing
- ▶ Consider off-the-shelf ontologies
- ▶ Mismatch with real-world entities in case of no shared vocabulary
  - ★ e.g. data extracted from the Web

## 2. Ontology matching and merging

- ▶ Ontologies need to be flexible to change
- ▶ Links between concepts are needed to merge/integrate
- ▶ Different organisations have different ontologies/vocabularies
- ▶ Work on “atomic” concepts to set the basis for integration
- ▶ Regulator vs. third party perspective

### 3. Rules and complexity of reasoning

- ▶ Behaviour needs rules
- ▶ Time is involved in contracts (no question)
- ▶ Rule languages have to allow for tractable/decidable reasoning
- ▶ Automated reasoning is the key to draw conclusions on risk

## 4. Approximate and probabilistic reasoning

- ▶ The only fact is the contract
  - ★ pretty much all the rest is uncertain
- ▶ Kinds of uncertainty to be modelled
  - ★ e.g. is the Govt. going to bail a bond issuer out?
- ▶ Probability and risk are subjective but representable formally

## 5. Systemic risk evaluation

- ▶ Evaluation of representations
  - ★ is any fact missing from the representation?
- ▶ Where is risk intrinsically? In the model or in the instrument itself?
- ▶ Domain experts should be enabled to evaluate risk rather than IT experts
- ▶ How is risk represented?
- ▶ Timeliness of data is sometimes essential to risk evaluation