# Distributional Semantics

Jordan Boyd-Graber

University of Maryland

Slides Adapted from Yoav Goldberg and Omer Levy

# From Distributional to Distributed Semantics

The new kid on the block

- Deep learning / neural networks
- "Distributed" word representations
	- ▶ Feed text into neural-net. Get back "word embeddings".
	- ▶ Each word is represented as a low-dimensional vector.
	- ▶ Vectors capture "semantics"
- word2vec (Mikolov et al)

# From Distributional to Distributed Semantics

#### This part of the talk

- word2vec as a black box
- a peek inside the black box
- relation between word-embeddings and the distributional representation
- tailoring word embeddings to your needs using  $word2vec$

### word2vec



### word2vec



### word2vec

- dog
	- ▶ cat, dogs, dachshund, rabbit, puppy, poodle, rottweiler, mixed-breed, doberman, pig
- sheep
	- ▶ cattle, goats, cows, chickens, sheeps, hogs, donkeys, herds, shorthorn, livestock
- november
	- ▶ october, december, april, june, february, july, september, january, august, march
- jerusalem
	- ▶ tiberias, jaffa, haifa, israel, palestine, nablus, damascus katamon, ramla, safed
- teva
	- ▶ pfizer, schering-plough, novartis, astrazeneca, glaxosmithkline, sanofi-aventis, mylan, sanofi, genzyme, pharmacia

### Word Similarity

- Similarity is calculated using *cosine similarity*:  $\sin(\vec{dog}, \vec{cat}) = \frac{\vec{dog} \cdot \vec{cal}}{\sqrt{3}}$ ||*dog⃗* || ||*cat ⃗* ||
- For normalized vectors  $(||x|| = 1)$ , this is equivalent to a dot product:

$$
sim(d\vec{og}, \vec{cat}) = d\vec{og} \cdot \vec{cat}
$$

• **Normalize the vectors when loading them.**

Finding the most similar words to  $\vec{dog}$ 

• Compute the similarity from word  $\vec{v}$  to all other words.

Finding the most similar words to  $\vec{dog}$ 

- Compute the similarity from word  $\vec{v}$  to all other words.
- This is a **single matrix-vector product**: *W* · *v⃗* ⊤



Finding the most similar words to  $\vec{dog}$ 

- Compute the similarity from word  $\vec{v}$  to all other words.
- This is a **single matrix-vector product**: *W* · *v⃗* ⊤



- Result is a  $|V|$  sized vector of similarities.
- Take the indices of the *k*-highest values.

Finding the most similar words to  $\vec{dog}$ 

- Compute the similarity from word  $\vec{v}$  to all other words.
- This is a **single matrix-vector product**: *W* · *v⃗* ⊤



- Result is a  $|V|$  sized vector of similarities.
- Take the indices of the *k*-highest values.
- **FAST! for 180k words, d=300:** ∼**30ms**

#### Most Similar Words, in python+numpy code

 $W$ , words = load and norm vectors("vecs.txt") # W and words are numpy arrays.  $w2i = \{w:i \text{ for } i,w \text{ in }$  enumerate(words) }

 $dog = W[w2i['dog']] # get the dog vector$ 

 $sims = W.dot(dog)$  # compute similarities

 $most\_similar\_ids = sums.argsort()[-1:-10:-1]$ sim words = words[most similar ids]

### Similarity to a group of words

- "Find me words most similar to cat, dog and cow".
- Calculate the pairwise similarities and sum them:

$$
W\cdot \vec{cat} + W\cdot \vec{dog} + W\cdot \vec{cow}
$$

• Now find the indices of the highest values as before.

### Similarity to a group of words

- "Find me words most similar to cat, dog and cow".
- Calculate the pairwise similarities and sum them:

$$
W\cdot \vec{cat} + W\cdot \vec{dog} + W\cdot \vec{cow}
$$

- Now find the indices of the highest values as before.
- Matrix-vector products are wasteful. **Better option:**

$$
W \cdot (\vec{cat} + \vec{dog} + \vec{cow})
$$

Working with dense word vectors can be very efficient.

Working with dense word vectors can be very efficient.

But where do these vectors come from?





#### Two context representations

- Continuous Bag of Words (CBOW)
- Skip-grams

### Two context representations

- Continuous Bag of Words (CBOW)
- Skip-grams

### Two context representations

- Continuous Bag of Words (CBOW)
- Skip-grams



#### Two context representations

- Continuous Bag of Words (CBOW)
- Skip-grams

#### Two training methods

- Negative Sampling
- Hierarchical Softmax

#### Two context representations

- Continuous Bag of Words (CBOW)
- Skip-grams

### Two training methods

- **Negative Sampling**
- Hierarchical Softmax

#### Two context representations

- Continuous Bag of Words (CBOW)
- Skip-grams

#### Two training methods

- Negative Sampling
- Hierarchical Softmax

But once you understand one, others follow.

- Represent each word as a *d* dimensional vector.
- Represent each context as a *d* dimensional vector.
- Initalize all vectors to random weights.
- Arrange vectors in two matrices, *W* and *C*.



$$
p(c|w;\theta) = \frac{\exp v_c \cdot v_w}{\sum_{c' \in C} \exp v_{c'} \cdot v_w}
$$
 (1)

- Predict context word(s)
- from focus word
- Looks a lot like logistic regression!

$$
\arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{(w,c) \in D} \log p(c|w) = \sum_{(w,c) \in D} \left[ \log \exp v_c \cdot v_w - \log \sum_{c'} \exp v_{c'} \cdot v_w \right]
$$
\n(2)

$$
p(c|w;\theta) = \frac{\exp v_c \cdot v_w}{\sum_{c' \in C} \exp v_{c'} \cdot v_w}
$$
 (1)

- Predict context word(s)
- from focus word
- Looks a lot like logistic regression!

$$
\arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{(w,c) \in D} \log p(c|w) = \sum_{(w,c) \in D} \left[ \log \exp v_c \cdot v_w - \log \sum_{c'} \exp v_{c'} \cdot v_w \right]
$$
\n(2)

$$
p(c|w;\theta) = \frac{\exp v_c \cdot v_w}{\sum_{c' \in C} \exp v_{c'} \cdot v_w}
$$
 (1)

- Predict context word(s)
- from focus word
- Looks a lot like logistic regression!

$$
\arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{(w,c) \in D} \log p(c|w) = \sum_{(w,c) \in D} \left[ \log \exp v_c \cdot v_w - \log \sum_{c'} \exp v_{c'} \cdot v_w \right]
$$
\n(2)

$$
p(c|w;\theta) = \frac{\exp v_c \cdot v_w}{\sum_{c' \in C} \exp v_{c'} \cdot v_w}
$$
 (1)

- Predict context word(s)
- from focus word
- Looks a lot like logistic regression!

$$
\arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{(w,c) \in D} \log p(c|w) = \sum_{(w,c) \in D} \left[ \log \exp v_c \cdot v_w - \log \sum_{c'} \exp v_{c'} \cdot v_w \right]
$$
\n(2)

While more text:

• Extract a word window:

A springer is [ a cow or **heifer** close to calving ]. *c*<sup>1</sup> *c*<sup>2</sup> *c*<sup>3</sup> *w c*<sup>4</sup> *c*<sup>5</sup> *c*<sup>6</sup>

- *w* is the focus word vector (row in *W*).
- *<sup>c</sup><sup>i</sup>* are the context word vectors (rows in *<sup>C</sup>*).

While more text:

- Extract a word window: A springer is [ a cow or **heifer** close to calving ].  $c_1$   $c_2$   $c_3$  *w*  $c_4$   $c_5$   $c_6$ 
	- Try setting the vector values such that:

 $\sigma(w \cdot c_1) + \sigma(w \cdot c_2) + \sigma(w \cdot c_3) + \sigma(w \cdot c_4) + \sigma(w \cdot c_5) + \sigma(w \cdot c_6)$ 

is **high**

While more text:

- Extract a word window:
- A springer is [ a cow or **heifer** close to calving ]. *c*<sub>1</sub> *c*<sub>2</sub> *c*<sub>3</sub> *w c*<sub>4</sub> *c*<sub>6</sub> *c*<sub>6</sub> *c*<sub>6</sub>
	- Try setting the vector values such that:

$$
\sigma(w \cdot c_1) + \sigma(w \cdot c_2) + \sigma(w \cdot c_3) + \sigma(w \cdot c_4) + \sigma(w \cdot c_5) + \sigma(w \cdot c_6)
$$

#### is **high**

- Create a corrupt example by choosing a random word *w* ′ (negative sample)  $\begin{bmatrix} a & cow & or & \text{comet} & close & to & calving \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & w' & c_4 & c_5 & c_6 \end{bmatrix}$  $c_1$   $c_2$   $c_3$   $w'$  $c_{\scriptscriptstyle{A}}$  **c**<sub>6</sub>
- Try setting the vector values such that:

$$
\sigma(w'\cdot c_{1})+\sigma(w'\cdot c_{2})+\sigma(w'\cdot c_{3})+\sigma(w'\cdot c_{4})+\sigma(w'\cdot c_{5})+\sigma(w'\cdot c_{6})
$$

is **low**

# Negative Sampling Distribution



$$
v^{\text{NS}}(w) = \frac{f(w)^{\frac{3}{4}}}{\sum_{w'} f(w)^{\frac{3}{4}}}
$$
(3)

*p*

Brings down frequent terms, brings up infrequent terms

The training procedure results in:

- *w* · *c* for **good** word-context pairs is **high**
- *w* · *c* for **bad** word-context pairs is **low**
- *w* · *c* for **ok-ish** word-context pairs is **neither high nor low**

As a result:

- Words that share many contexts get close to each other.
- Contexts that share many words get close to each other.

At the end, word2vec throws away *C* and returns *W*.

Imagine we didn't throw away *C*. Consider the product *WC*<sup>⊤</sup>

Imagine we didn't throw away *C*. Consider the product *WC*<sup>⊤</sup>



The result is a matrix *M* in which:

- Each row corresponds to a word.
- Each column corresponds to a context.
- Each cell: *w* · *c*, association between word and context.



Does this remind you of something?



Does this remind you of something?

Very similar to SVD over distributional representation:



# Relation between SVD and word2vec

### **SVD**

- Begin with a word-context matrix.
- Approximate it with a product of low rank (thin) matrices.
- Use thin matrix as word representation.

### word2vec (skip-grams, negative sampling)

- Learn thin word and context matrices
- These matrices can be thought of as approximating an implicit word-context matrix.
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Levy and Goldberg (NIPS 2014) show that this implicit matrix is related to the well-known PPMI matrix.

# Relation between SVD and word2vec

word2vec is a dimensionality reduction technique over an (implicit) word-context matrix.

Just like SVD.

With few tricks (Levy, Goldberg and Dagan, TACL 2015) we can get SVD to perform just as well as word2vec.

# Relation between SVD and word2vec

word2vec is a dimensionality reduction technique over an (implicit) word-context matrix.

Just like SVD.

With few tricks (Levy, Goldberg and Dagan, TACL 2015) we can get SVD to perform just as well as word2vec.

However, word2vec...

- **. . . works without building / storing the actual matrix in memory.**
- **. . . is very fast to train, can use multiple threads.**
- **. . . can easily scale to huge data and very large word and context vocabularies.**