# **Alignment**

#### Jordan Boyd-Graber

University of Maryland

Policy Methods

Adapted from slides by David Silver, Pieter Abbeel, and John Schulman

# Reinforcement Learning is Everywhere!

- RL used to be niche subfield ...
- Now it's all over the place
- Part of much of ML hype
- But what is reinforcement learning?

# Reinforcement Learning is Everywhere!

- RL used to be niche subfield ...
- Now it's all over the place
- Part of much of ML hype
- But what is reinforcement learning?
	- RL is a general-purpose framework for decision-making
	- RL is for an agent with the capacity to act
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Each action influences the agent's future state
	- $\triangleright$  Success is measured by a scalar reward signal
	- Goal: select actions to maximise future reward

# Simple Statistical Gradient-Following Algorithms for Connectionist Reinforcement Learning

Ronald J. Williams College of Computer Science Northeastern University Boston, MA 02115

Appears in *Machine Learning*, 8, pp. 229-256, 1992.

Foundation of Policy Gradient

Let  $\tau$  be state-action  $s_0, u_0, \ldots, s_H, u_H$ . Utility of policy  $\pi$  parametrized by *θ* is

$$
U(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta},U} \left[ \sum_{t}^{H} R(s_t, u_t); \pi_{\theta} \right] = \sum_{\tau} P(\tau; \theta) R(\tau). \tag{1}
$$

Our goal is to find *θ* :

$$
\max_{\theta} U(\theta) = \max_{\theta} \sum_{\tau} p(\tau; \theta) R(\tau)
$$
 (2)

Let  $\tau$  be state-action  $s_0, u_0, \ldots, s_H, u_H$ . Utility of policy  $\pi$  parametrized by *θ* is

$$
U(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta},U} \left[ \sum_{t}^{H} R(s_t, u_t); \pi_{\theta} \right] = \sum_{\tau} P(\tau; \theta) R(\tau). \tag{1}
$$

Our goal is to find *θ* :

$$
\max_{\theta} U(\theta) = \max_{\theta} \sum_{\tau} p(\tau; \theta) R(\tau)
$$
 (2)

#### Connecting to Generation

- *τ* are internal states of decoder and the tokens they produce.
- Reward is how good the output is (more on that later).
- *θ* are the encoder/decoder parameters

$$
\sum_{\tau} p(\tau; \theta) R(\tau) \tag{3}
$$

Taking the gradient wrt *θ* :

(4)

$$
\sum_{\tau} p(\tau; \theta) R(\tau) \tag{3}
$$

Taking the gradient wrt *θ* :

$$
\nabla_{\theta} U(\theta) = \sum_{\tau} R(\tau) \frac{P(\tau; \theta)}{P(\tau; \theta)} \nabla_{\theta} P(\tau; \theta)
$$
\n(4)

Move differentiation inside sum (ignore  $R(\tau)$  and then add in term that cancels out

$$
\sum_{\tau} p(\tau; \theta) R(\tau) \tag{3}
$$

Taking the gradient wrt *θ* :

$$
\nabla_{\theta} U(\theta) = \sum_{\tau} R(\tau) \frac{P(\tau; \theta)}{P(\tau; \theta)} \nabla_{\theta} P(\tau; \theta)
$$
\n(4)

$$
=\sum_{\tau} P(\tau;\theta) \frac{\nabla_{\theta} P(\tau;\theta)}{P(\tau;\theta)} R(\tau) \tag{5}
$$

(6)

Move derivative over probability

$$
\sum_{\tau} p(\tau; \theta) R(\tau) \tag{3}
$$

Taking the gradient wrt *θ* :

$$
\nabla_{\theta} U(\theta) = \sum_{\tau} R(\tau) \frac{P(\tau; \theta)}{P(\tau; \theta)} \nabla_{\theta} P(\tau; \theta)
$$
\n(4)

$$
=\sum_{\tau} P(\tau;\theta) \frac{\nabla_{\theta} P(\tau;\theta)}{P(\tau;\theta)} R(\tau) \tag{5}
$$

$$
= \sum_{\tau} P(\tau; \theta) \nabla_{\theta} \left[ \log P(\tau; \theta) \right] R(\tau) \tag{6}
$$

Assume softmax form  $(\nabla_{\theta} \log z = \frac{1}{z} \nabla_{\theta} z)$ 

$$
\sum_{\tau} p(\tau; \theta) R(\tau) \tag{3}
$$

Taking the gradient wrt *θ* :

$$
= \sum_{\tau} P(\tau; \theta) \nabla_{\theta} \left[ \log P(\tau; \theta) \right] R(\tau) \tag{4}
$$

Approximate with empirical estimate for *m* sample paths from *π*

$$
\nabla_{\theta} U(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i}^{m} \nabla_{\theta} \log P(x^{i}; \theta) R(\tau^{i})
$$
 (5)

- (Gradient of) probability of outputting sequence
- How good that sequence is
- Averaged over samples

$$
\sum_{\tau} p(\tau; \theta) R(\tau) \tag{3}
$$

Taking the gradient wrt *θ* :

$$
= \sum_{\tau} P(\tau; \theta) \nabla_{\theta} \left[ \log P(\tau; \theta) \right] R(\tau) \tag{4}
$$

Approximate with empirical estimate for *m* sample paths from *π*

$$
\nabla_{\theta} U(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i}^{m} \nabla_{\theta} \log P(x^{i}; \theta) R(\tau^{i})
$$
(5)

- (Gradient of) probability of outputting sequence
- How good that sequence is
- Averaged over samples

$$
\sum_{\tau} p(\tau; \theta) R(\tau) \tag{3}
$$

Taking the gradient wrt *θ* :

$$
= \sum_{\tau} P(\tau; \theta) \nabla_{\theta} \left[ \log P(\tau; \theta) \right] R(\tau) \tag{4}
$$

Approximate with empirical estimate for *m* sample paths from *π*

$$
\nabla_{\theta} U(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i}^{m} \nabla_{\theta} \log P(x^{i}; \theta) R(\tau^{i})
$$
 (5)

- (Gradient of) probability of outputting sequence
- How good that sequence is
- Averaged over samples

$$
\sum_{\tau} p(\tau; \theta) R(\tau) \tag{3}
$$

Taking the gradient wrt *θ* :

$$
= \sum_{\tau} P(\tau; \theta) \nabla_{\theta} \left[ \log P(\tau; \theta) \right] R(\tau) \tag{4}
$$

Approximate with empirical estimate for *m* sample paths from *π*

$$
\nabla_{\theta} U(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i}^{m} \nabla_{\theta} \log P(x^{i}; \theta) R(\tau^{i})
$$
 (5)

- (Gradient of) probability of outputting sequence
- How good that sequence is
- Averaged over samples

# Policy Gradient Intuition



- Increase probability of paths with positive *R*
- Decrease probability of paths with negative *R*

#### **Extensions**

• Consider baseline *b* (e.g., path averaging)

$$
\nabla_{\theta} U(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{m} \sum_{1}^{m} \nabla_{\theta} \log P(r^{i}; \theta) (R(\tau^{i}) - b(\tau))
$$
 (6)

- Combine with value estimation (critic)
	- ▶ Actor: What actions to take
	- ▶ Critic: How good those actions are
	- ▶ Advantage Actor Critic with temporal difference (remember TD Gammon?) term

$$
A_{\pi_{\theta}} = r(s_t, a_t) + V_{\pi_{\theta}}(s_{t+1}) - V_{\pi_{\theta}}(s_t)
$$
\n(7)

• Proximal policy optimization: policies should not change too much

#### Recap

- Reinforcement learning is active subfield of ML
- Deep learning option for learning policy / value functions
- Representation learning helps cope with large state spaces
- Still requires careful engineering and feature engineering