Uninformed Search

Hal Daumé III

- Computer Science University of Maryland
- me@hal3.name
- CS 421: Introduction to Artificial Intelligence
- 31 Jan 2012





Hal Daumé III (me@hal3.name)

CS421: Intro to AI

Announcements

- Forgot to tell you login information for web page:
 - User name = "cs421" (but no quotes)
 - Password = "____" (still no quotes)
 - (this will be used for posting solutions)
- Junkfood machines:
 - > You may develop at home, but must *run* on Junkfood
- Homework 1 has been posted
- Project 1 will be posted soon

Today

- Agents that Plan Ahead
- Search Problems
- Uniformed Search Methods
 - Depth-First Search
 - Breadth-First Search
 - Uniform-Cost Search

Search Problems

- A search problem consists of:
 - A state space



- A successor function
 "N", 1.0
 "E", 1.0
- A start state and a goal test
- A solution is a sequence of actions (a plan) which transforms the start state to a goal state

Reflex Agents

- Reflex agents:
 - Choose action based on current percept and memory
 - May have memory or a model of the world's current state



- Do not consider the future consequences of their actions
- Can a reflex agent be rational?



[demo: reflex]

Hal Daumé III (me@hal3.name)

Goal Based Agents

- Goal-based agents:
 - Plan ahead
 - Decisions based on (hypothesized) consequences of actions



Must have a model of how the world evolves in response to actions



[demo: plan fast / slow]

Hal Daumé III (me@hal3.name)

CS421: Intro to Al

Search Trees



- A search tree:
 - This is a "what if" tree of plans and outcomes
 - Start state at the root node
 - Children correspond to successors
 - Nodes labeled with states, correspond to PLANS to those states
 - > For most problems, can never build the whole tree
 - > So, have to find ways to use only the important parts!

State Space Graphs

u.hal3.name/ic.pl?q=q

- There's some big graph in which
 - Each state is a node
 - Each successor is an outgoing arc
- Important: For most problems we could never actually build this graph
- How many states in Pacman?



Laughably tiny search graph for a tiny search problem

Example: Romania



Another Search Tree



Search:

- Expand out possible plans
- Maintain a fringe of unexpanded plans
- Try to expand as few tree nodes as possible

States vs. Nodes

- Problem graphs have problem states
 - Represent an abstracted state of the world
 - Have successors, predecessors, can be goal / non-goal
- Search trees have search nodes
 - Represent a plan (path) which results in the node's state
 - Have 1 parent, a length and cost, point to a problem state
 - Expand uses successor function to create new tree nodes
 - > The same problem state in multiple search tree nodes



CS421: Intro to AI

General Tree Search

function TREE-SEARCH(problem, strategy) returns a solution, or failure
initialize the search tree using the initial state of problem
loop do
 if there are no candidates for expansion then return failure
 choose a leaf node for expansion according to strategy
 if the node contains a goal state then return the corresponding solution
 else expand the node and add the resulting nodes to the search tree
end

- Important ideas:
 - Fringe
 - Expansion
 - Exploration strategy

Detailed pseudocode is in the book!

Main question: which fringe nodes to explore?

Hal Daumé III (me@hal3.name)

Example: Tree Search



Hal Daumé III (me@hal3.name)

CS421: Intro to Al

State Graphs vs Search Trees



Each NODE in in the search tree is an entire PATH in the problem graph.

We almost always construct both on demand – and we construct as little as possible.



S

a Hal Daumé III (me@hal3.name)

CS421: Intro to Al

Review: Depth First Search

Strategy: expand deepest node first

Implementation: Fringe is a LIFO stack





Review: Breadth First Search

Strategy: expand shallowest node first

Implementation: Fringe is a FIFO queue





Search Algorithm Properties

- Complete? Guaranteed to find a solution if one exists?
- Optimal? Guaranteed to find the least cost path?
- Time complexity?
- Space complexity?
- Variables:

| п | Number of states in the problem |
|-------|--|
| b | The average branching factor <i>B</i> (the average number of successors) |
| C^* | Cost of least cost solution |
| S | Depth of the shallowest solution |
| т | Max depth of the search tree |

| DFS |
|-----|
|-----|

| n | # states |
|----|-------------|
| b | avg branch |
| C* | least cost |
| S | shallow goa |
| т | max depth |

| Algorithm | | Complete | Optimal | Time | Space |
|-----------|-----------------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|
| DFS | Depth First Search | Ν | Ν | Infinite | Infinite |



- Infinite paths make DFS incomplete...
- How can we fix this?





> With cycle checking, DFS is complete.



| Algorithm | | Complete | Optimal | Time | Space |
|-----------|---------------------|----------|---------|------|-------|
| DFS | w/ Path Checking | Y | | | |

BFS

n # states
b avg branch
C* least cost
s shallow goal
m max depth



Iterative Deepening

Iterative deepening uses DFS as a subroutine:

- Do a DFS which only searches for paths of length <=1 (DFS gives up on path of length 2)
- If "1" failed, do a DFS which only searches paths of length 2 or less.
- 3. If "2" failed, do a DFS which only searches paths of length 3 or less.

....and so on.





| Algorithm | | Complete | Optimal | Time | Space |
|-----------|---------------------|----------|---------|------|-------|
| DFS | w/ Path Checking | Y | | | |
| BFS | | Y | | | |
| ID | | Y | | | |

n # states
b avg branch
C* least cost
s shallow goal
m max depth

When will BFS outperform DFS?

When will DFS outperform BFS?

Costs on Actions



Notice that BFS finds the shortest path in terms of number of transitions. It does not find the least-cost path. We will quickly cover an algorithm which does find the least-cost path.

Uniform Cost Search

Expand cheapest node first: Fringe is a priority queue







A priority queue is a data structure in which you can insert and retrieve (key, value) pairs with the following operations:

| pq.push(key, value) | inserts (key, value) into the queue. | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|
| pq.pop() | returns the key with the lowest value, and removes it from the queue. | | |

- You can promote or demote keys by resetting their priorities
- Unlike a regular queue, insertions into a priority queue are not constant time, usually O(log n)
- We'll need priority queues for most cost-sensitive search methods.

Uniform Cost Search

What will UCS do for this graph?



What does this mean for completeness?

Uniform Cost Search

n # states
b avg branch
C* least cost
s shallow goal

m max depth

| Algorithm | | Complete | Optimal | Time | Space |
|-----------|---------------------|----------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| DFS | w/ Path Checking | | | | |
| BFS | | | | | |
| UCS | | Y* | Y | $O(C^* b^{C^{*/\varepsilon}})$ | $\mathrm{O}(b^{C^{*/arepsilon}})$ |



We'll talk more about uniform cost search's failure cases later...

```
Hal Daumé III (me@hal3.name)
```

Uniform Cost Problems

- Remember: explores increasing cost contours
- The good: UCS is complete and optimal!
- The bad:
 - Explores options in every "direction"
 - No information about goal location



Hal Daumé III (me@hal3.name)

Heuristics



Best First / Greedy Search

Expand the node that seems closest...



What can go wrong?

Best First / Greedy Search



Best First / Greedy Search

- A common case:
 - Best-first takes you straight to the (wrong) goal
- Worst-case: like a badlyguided DFS in the worst case
 - Can explore everything
 - Can get stuck in loops if no cycle checking
- Like DFS in completeness (finite states w/ cycle checking)





Search Gone Wrong?



Extra Work?

Failure to detect repeated states can cause exponentially more work. Why?



Graph Search

In BFS, for example, we shouldn't bother expanding the circled nodes (why?)



Graph Search

Very simple fix: never expand a state type twice

```
function GRAPH-SEARCH(problem, fringe) returns a solution, or failure

closed \leftarrow an empty set

fringe \leftarrow INSERT(MAKE-NODE(INITIAL-STATE[problem]), fringe)

loop do

if fringe is empty then return failure

node \leftarrow REMOVE-FRONT(fringe)

if GOAL-TEST(problem, STATE[node]) then return node

if STATE[node] is not in closed then

add STATE[node] to closed

fringe \leftarrow INSERTALL(EXPAND(node, problem), fringe)

end
```

- Can this wreck completeness? Why or why not?
- How about optimality? Why or why not?

Some Hints

- Graph search is almost always better than tree search (when not?)
- Fringes are sometimes called "closed lists" but don't implement them with lists (use sets)!
- Nodes are conceptually paths, but better to represent with a state, cost, and reference to parent node

Best First Greedy Search

n # states
b avg branch
C* least cost
s shallow goal
m max depth

| Algorithm | Complete | Optimal | Time | Space |
|-----------------------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|
| Greedy Best-First Search | Y* | Ν | $O(b^m)$ | $O(b^m)$ |



- What do we need to do to make it complete?
- Can we make it optimal? Next class!