Machine Learning (CS 726)

## Hidden Markov Models II

We now shift back to unsupervised learning. We want to follow the EM framework for inference in *hidden* Markov models. The basic idea is to think of the state lattice, and ask yourself "what is the probability of going through a particular node." This will give us the "fractional count" that we use to re-estimate the parameters of the model.

The solution to this problem has many names: the forward-backward algorithm, the inside-outside algorithm, the Baum-Welch algorithm, etc. (essentially because it's been re-derived a bunch of times). The intuition is that the "forward" probabilities compute the likelihood of *getting* to a node; the "backward" probabilities compute the likelihood of *getting* to the end. We multiply them together, and voila, we have the probability of *being* at that node.

The "forward" procedure is a recursive process, analogous to the Viterbi algorithm. The difference is that in the Viterbi algorithm we asked "what is the probability of getting to this node *assuming we've followed the optimal path thus far*?" In forward, we ask "what is the probability of getting to this node, period?"

In particular, let:

$$\alpha_i(t) = P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{t-1}, y_t = i \mid \Theta)$$

Here, x is the observed sequence, y is the latent sequence, and  $\Theta$  are all the model parameters. This is the probability of getting to state i at time t, and observing the sequence up to t - 1 in doing so.

We compute these recursively as:

$$\alpha_i(1) = \pi_i$$

This is the base case: the probability of observing *nothing* and getting to state i at time 1 is just the probability of starting in state i.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{j}(t+1) &= P(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{t}, y_{t+1} = j \mid \Theta) \\ &= P(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{t-1}, x_{t}, y_{t+1} = j \mid \Theta) \\ &= \sum_{i} P(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{t-1}, x_{t}, y_{t} = i, y_{t+1} = j \mid \Theta) \\ &= \sum_{i} P(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{t-1}, y_{t} = i \mid \Theta) P(x_{t}, y_{t+1} = j \mid y_{t} = i, \Theta) \\ &= \sum_{i} \alpha_{i}(t) P(y_{t+1} = j \mid y_{t} = i, \Theta) P(x_{t} \mid y_{t+1} = j, \Theta) \\ &= \sum_{i} \alpha_{i}(t) a_{ij} b_{j,x_{t}} \end{aligned}$$

The first line is definition, the second is just expanding, the third is marginalizing over  $y_t$ , the fourth is chain rule, the fifth is applying the definition of  $\alpha$ , the sixth is Markov assumption and the last step is plugging in a and b tables.

The backward procedure is entirely analogous. Define:

$$\beta_i(t) = P(x_t, \dots, x_T \mid y_t = i, \Theta)$$

This is the probability of the sequence of observations  $x_t, \ldots, x_T$  being emitted, given that the machine starts in state *i* at time *t*.

As suggested by the name, we compute these right-to-left:

$$\beta_i(T+1) = 1$$

The probability of doing nothing is just 1, no matter what state we're in.

$$\begin{split} \beta_{i}(t) &= P(x_{t}, \dots, x_{T} \mid y_{t} = i, \Theta) \\ &= P(x_{t}, x_{t+1}, \dots, x_{T} \mid y_{t} = i, \Theta) \\ &= \sum_{j} P(x_{t}, x_{t+1}, \dots, x_{T}, y_{t+1} = j \mid y_{t} = i, \Theta) \\ &= \sum_{j} P(x_{t}, y_{t+1} = j \mid y_{t} = i, \Theta) P(x_{t+1}, \dots, x_{T} \mid y_{t} = i, x_{t}, y_{t+1} = j, \Theta) \\ &= \sum_{j} P(x_{t} \mid y_{t} = i, \Theta) P(y_{t+1} = j \mid y_{t} = i, \Theta) P(x_{t+1}, \dots, x_{T} \mid y_{t+1} = j, \Theta) \\ &= \sum_{j} b_{i,x_{t}} a_{ij} P(x_{t+1}, \dots, x_{T} \mid y_{t+1} = j, \Theta) \\ &= \sum_{j} b_{i,x_{t}} a_{ij} \beta_{j}(t+1) \end{split}$$

Again, first is definition, second is expanding, third is marginalizing over  $y_{t+1}$ , fourth is chain rule, fifth is Markov assumption, sixth is definition of model parameters and last is applying the defition of  $\beta$  recursively.

Now, the magic happens. We want to compute the probability that we are in some lattice state at some point in time:

$$P(\mathbf{x}, y_t = i \mid \Theta) = P(x_1, \dots, x_T, y_t = i \mid \Theta)$$
  
=  $P(x_1, \dots, x_{t-1}, y_t = i, x_t, \dots, x_T \mid \Theta)$   
=  $P(x_1, \dots, x_{t-1}, y_t = i \mid \Theta) P(x_t, \dots, x_T \mid x_1, \dots, x_{t-1}, y_t = i, \Theta)$   
=  $P(x_1, \dots, x_{t-1}, y_t = i \mid \Theta) P(x_t, \dots, x_T \mid y_t = i, \Theta)$   
=  $\alpha_i(t)\beta_i(t)$ 

Which gives us an easy way to compute the probability of being in some state at some time.

Note that we can further compute:

$$P(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \Theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \alpha_i(t) \beta_i(t)$$

for any t. (This is a good debugging tip!!!)

Now, if we want to do EM, we need fractional counts for "how many times does state i transition to state j" (to get the transition probabilities) and "how many times does state i emit observation x?".

To get these, define:

$$\gamma_i(t) = P(y_t = i \mid \boldsymbol{x}, \Theta)$$
$$= \frac{P(y_t = i, \boldsymbol{x} \mid \Theta)}{P(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \Theta)}$$
$$= \frac{\alpha_i(t)\beta_i(t)}{\sum_j \alpha_j(t)\beta_j(t)}$$

This is the probability of hitting a single state. Similarly, defin:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{i,j}(t) &= P(y_t = i, y_{t+1} = j \mid \boldsymbol{x}, \Theta) \\ &= \frac{P(y_t = i, y_{t+1} = j, \boldsymbol{x} \mid \Theta)}{P(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \Theta)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_i(t) a_{i,j} b_{i,x_t} \beta_j(t+1)}{\sum_k \alpha_k(t) \beta_k(t)} \end{split}$$

This is the probability of hitting a single transition.

Then, summing, we get:

$$\sum_{t} \gamma_{i}(t) = \text{expected number of transitions from state } i \text{ in } \boldsymbol{x}$$
$$\sum_{t} \delta_{i,j}(t) = \text{expected number of transitions from state } i \text{ to state } j \text{ in } \boldsymbol{x}$$

Combining all of this, we can get re-estimated probabilities:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\pi}_i &= \text{ expected frequency in state } i \text{ at time 1} \\ &= \gamma_i(1) \\ \hat{a}_{i,j} &= \frac{\text{expected transitions from } i \text{ to } j}{\text{expected transitions from } i} \\ &= \frac{\sum_t \delta_{i,j}(t)}{\sum_t \gamma_i(t)} \\ \hat{b}_{i,x} &= \frac{\text{expected transitions from } i \text{ with } x \text{ observed}}{\text{expected transitions from } i} \\ &= \frac{\sum_t \gamma_i(t) \mathbf{1}[x_t = x]}{\sum_t \gamma_i(t)} \end{aligned}$$

And that's it for EM!