

Adapted from material by Philipp Koehn

Machine Translation

Computational Linguistics: Jordan Boyd-Graber University of Maryland WORD-BASED MODELS

Roadmap

- Introduction to MT
- Components of MT system
- Word-based models
- Beyond word-based models

Roadmap

- Introduction to MT
- Components of MT system
- Word-based models
- Beyond word-based models: phrase-based and neural

What unlocks translations?



- Humans need parallel text to understand new languages when no speakers are round
- Rosetta stone: allowed us understand to Egyptian
- Computers need the same information

What unlocks translations?



- Humans need parallel text to understand new languages when no speakers are round
- Rosetta stone: allowed us understand to Egyptian
- Computers need the same information
- Where do we get them?
 - Some governments require translations (Canada, EU, Hong Kong)
 - Newspapers
 - Internet

Pieces of Machine Translation System



Terminology

- Source language: f (foreign)
- Target language: e (english)

Collect Statistics

Look at a parallel corpus (German text along with English translation)

Translation of Haus	Count
house	8,000
building	1,600
home	200
household	150
shell	50

Estimate Translation Probabilities

Maximum likelihood estimation

$$p_f(e) = \begin{cases} 0.8 & \text{if } e = \text{house}, \\ 0.16 & \text{if } e = \text{building}, \\ 0.02 & \text{if } e = \text{home}, \\ 0.015 & \text{if } e = \text{household}, \\ 0.005 & \text{if } e = \text{shell}. \end{cases}$$

Alignment

 In a parallel text (or when we translate), we align words in one language with the words in the other



Word positions are numbered 1–4

Alignment Function

- Formalizing alignment with an alignment function
- Mapping an English target word at position *i* to a German source word at position *j* with a function *a*: *i* → *j*
- Example

$$a: \{1 \rightarrow 1, 2 \rightarrow 2, 3 \rightarrow 3, 4 \rightarrow 4\}$$

Reordering

Words may be reordered during translation



One-to-Many Translation

A source word may translate into multiple target words



 $a: \{1 \rightarrow 1, 2 \rightarrow 2, 3 \rightarrow 3, 4 \rightarrow 4, 5 \rightarrow 4\}$

Dropping Words



Inserting Words

- Words may be added during translation
 - The English just does not have an equivalent in German
 - We still need to map it to something: special null token



A family of lexical translation models

- A family translation models
- Uncreatively named: Model 1, Model 2, …
- Foundation of all modern translation algorithms
- First up: Model 1

- Generative model: break up translation process into smaller steps
 - IBM Model 1 only uses lexical translation
- Translation probability
 - for a foreign sentence $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, ..., f_{l_f})$ of length l_f
 - to an English sentence $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, ..., e_{l_e})$ of length l_e
 - □ with an alignment of each English word e_j to a foreign word f_i according to the alignment function $a: j \rightarrow i$

$$p(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{a} | \mathbf{f}) = \frac{\epsilon}{(l_f + 1)^{l_e}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_e} t(e_j | f_{\mathbf{a}(j)})$$

- Generative model: break up translation process into smaller steps
 - IBM Model 1 only uses lexical translation
- Translation probability
 - for a foreign sentence $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, ..., f_{l_f})$ of length l_f
 - to an English sentence $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, ..., e_{l_e})$ of length l_e
 - □ with an alignment of each English word e_j to a foreign word f_i according to the alignment function $a: j \rightarrow i$

$$p(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{a}|\mathbf{f}) = \frac{\epsilon}{(l_f + 1)^{l_o}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_o} t(\mathbf{e}_j | f_{\mathbf{a}(j)})$$

- Generative model: break up translation process into smaller steps
 - IBM Model 1 only uses lexical translation
- Translation probability
 - for a foreign sentence $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, ..., f_{l_f})$ of length l_f
 - to an English sentence $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, ..., e_{l_e})$ of length l_e
 - □ with an alignment of each English word e_j to a foreign word f_i according to the alignment function $a: j \rightarrow i$

$$p(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{a} | \mathbf{f}) = \frac{\epsilon}{(l_f + 1)^{l_e}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_e} t(\frac{\mathbf{e}_j}{|f_{\mathbf{a}(j)}|})$$

- Generative model: break up translation process into smaller steps
 - IBM Model 1 only uses lexical translation
- Translation probability
 - for a foreign sentence $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, ..., f_{l_f})$ of length l_f
 - to an English sentence $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, ..., e_{l_e})$ of length l_e
 - □ with an alignment of each English word e_j to a foreign word f_i according to the alignment function $a: j \rightarrow i$

$$p(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{a} | \mathbf{f}) = \frac{\epsilon}{(l_f + 1)^{l_e}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_e} t(e_j | f_{\mathbf{a}(j)})$$

- Generative model: break up translation process into smaller steps
 - IBM Model 1 only uses lexical translation
- Translation probability
 - for a foreign sentence $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, ..., f_{l_f})$ of length l_f
 - to an English sentence $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, ..., e_{l_e})$ of length l_e
 - □ with an alignment of each English word e_j to a foreign word f_i according to the alignment function $a: j \rightarrow i$

$$p(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{a} | \mathbf{f}) = \frac{\epsilon}{(l_f + 1)^{l_e}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_e} t(\mathbf{e}_j | \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{a}(j)})$$

- Generative model: break up translation process into smaller steps
 - IBM Model 1 only uses lexical translation
- Translation probability
 - for a foreign sentence $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, ..., f_{l_f})$ of length l_f
 - to an English sentence $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, ..., e_{l_e})$ of length l_e
 - □ with an alignment of each English word e_j to a foreign word f_i according to the alignment function $a: j \rightarrow i$

$$p(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{a} | \mathbf{f}) = \frac{\epsilon}{(l_f + 1)^{l_e}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_e} t(e_j | f_{\mathbf{a}(j)})$$

- Generative model: break up translation process into smaller steps
 - IBM Model 1 only uses lexical translation
- Translation probability
 - for a foreign sentence $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, ..., f_{l_f})$ of length l_f
 - to an English sentence $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, ..., e_{l_e})$ of length l_e
 - □ with an alignment of each English word e_j to a foreign word f_i according to the alignment function $a: j \rightarrow i$

$$p(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{a} | \mathbf{f}) = \frac{\epsilon}{(l_f + 1)^{l_e}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_e} t(e_j | f_{\mathbf{a}(j)})$$

- Generative model: break up translation process into smaller steps
 - IBM Model 1 only uses lexical translation
- Translation probability
 - for a foreign sentence $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, ..., f_{l_f})$ of length l_f
 - to an English sentence $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, ..., e_{l_e})$ of length l_e
 - □ with an alignment of each English word e_j to a foreign word f_i according to the alignment function $a: j \rightarrow i$

$$p(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{a} | \mathbf{f}) = \frac{\epsilon}{(l_f + 1)^{l_o}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_o} t(e_j | f_{\mathbf{a}(j)})$$

Example

das		
е	t(e f)	
the	0.7	
that	0.15	
which	0.075	
who	0.05	
this	0.025	

Haus

е

house

home

family

shell

building

t(e|f)

0.8

0.16

0.02

0.015

0.005

е

is

's

exists

has

are

t(e|f)

0.8

0.16

0.02

0.015

0.005

klein

е	t(e f)
small	0.4
little	0.4
short	0.1
minor	0.06
petty	0.04

 $p(e, a | f) = \frac{\epsilon}{5^4} \times t(\text{the} | \text{das}) \times t(\text{house} | \text{Haus}) \times t(\text{is} | \text{ist}) \times t(\text{small} | \text{klein})$ $= \frac{\epsilon}{5^4} \times 0.7 \times 0.8 \times 0.8 \times 0.4$ $= 0.00029\epsilon$

Learning Lexical Translation Models

- We would like to estimate the lexical translation probabilities t(e|f) from a parallel corpus
- ... but we do not have the alignments
- Chicken and egg problem
 - if we had the alignments,
 - \rightarrow we could estimate the parameters of our generative model
 - if we had the parameters,
 - \rightarrow we could estimate the <u>alignments</u>

- Incomplete data
 - if we had <u>complete data</u>, would could estimate <u>model</u>
 - if we had model, we could fill in the gaps in the data
- Expectation Maximization (EM) in a nutshell
 - 1. initialize model parameters (e.g. uniform)
 - 2. assign probabilities to the missing data
 - 3. estimate model parameters from completed data
 - 4. iterate steps 2-3 until convergence



- Initial step: all alignments equally likely
- Model learns that, e.g., la is often aligned with the



- After one iteration
- Alignments, e.g., between la and the are more likely



- After another iteration
- It becomes apparent that alignments, e.g., between fleur and flower are more likely (pigeon hole principle)



- Convergence
- Inherent hidden structure revealed by EM



Parameter estimation from the aligned corpus

IBM Model 1 and EM

- EM Algorithm consists of two steps
- Expectation-Step: Apply model to the data
 - parts of the model are hidden (here: alignments)
 - using the model, assign probabilities to possible values
- Maximization-Step: Estimate model from data
 - take assign values as fact
 - collect counts (weighted by probabilities)
 - estimate model from counts
- Iterate these steps until convergence

IBM Model 1 and EM

- We need to be able to compute:
 - Expectation-Step: probability of alignments
 - Maximization-Step: count collection

IBM Model 1 and EM

• Probabilities p(the|la) = 0.7 p(house|la) = 0.05p(the|maison) = 0.1 p(house|maison) = 0.8

Alignments

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} & \bullet & \mathbf{the} \\ \text{maison} & \bullet & \text{house} \\ p(\mathbf{e}, a | \mathbf{f}) = 0.56 \\ p(\mathbf{e}, a | \mathbf{f}) = 0.035 \\ p(\mathbf{e}, a | \mathbf{f}) = 0.035 \\ p(\mathbf{e}, a | \mathbf{f}) = 0.035 \\ p(\mathbf{e}, a | \mathbf{f}) = 0.08 \\ p(\mathbf{e}, a | \mathbf{f}) = 0.005 \\ p(\mathbf{a} | \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}) = 0.012 \\ p(\mathbf{a} | \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}) = 0.118 \\ p(\mathbf{a} | \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}) = 0.007 \end{aligned}$

Counts

c(the|la) = 0.824 + 0.052c(the|maison) = 0.118 + 0.007c(house|maison) = 0.824 + 0.118

- We need to compute $p(a|\mathbf{e},\mathbf{f})$
- Applying the chain rule:

$$p(a|\mathbf{e},\mathbf{f}) = rac{p(\mathbf{e},a|\mathbf{f})}{p(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f})}$$

• We already have the formula for $p(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{a} | \mathbf{f})$ (definition of Model 1)

• We need to compute $p(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f})$

 $p(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f}) =$

$$p(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{a} p(\mathbf{e}, a|\mathbf{f})$$

$$p(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{a} p(\mathbf{e}, a|\mathbf{f})$$
$$= \sum_{a(1)=0}^{l_{f}} \cdots \sum_{a(l_{e})=0}^{l_{f}}$$

$$p(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{a} p(\mathbf{e}, a|\mathbf{f})$$

 $= \sum_{a(1)=0}^{l_{f}} \cdots \sum_{a(l_{e})=0}^{l_{f}} p(\mathbf{e}, a|\mathbf{f})$

$$p(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{a} p(\mathbf{e}, a|\mathbf{f})$$

= $\sum_{a(1)=0}^{l_{f}} \cdots \sum_{a(l_{e})=0}^{l_{f}} p(\mathbf{e}, a|\mathbf{f})$
= $\sum_{a(1)=0}^{l_{f}} \cdots \sum_{a(l_{e})=0}^{l_{f}} \frac{\epsilon}{(l_{f}+1)^{l_{e}}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_{e}} t(e_{j}|f_{a(j)})$

$$p(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{a(1)=0}^{l_f} \dots \sum_{a(l_e)=0}^{l_f} \frac{\epsilon}{(l_f+1)^{l_e}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_e} t(e_j|f_{a(j)})$$

$$\rho(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{a(1)=0}^{l_f} \dots \sum_{a(l_e)=0}^{l_f} \frac{\epsilon}{(l_f+1)^{l_e}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_e} t(e_j|f_{a(j)})$$
$$= \frac{\epsilon}{(l_f+1)^{l_e}} \sum_{a(1)=0}^{l_f} \dots \sum_{a(l_e)=0}^{l_f} \prod_{j=1}^{l_e} t(e_j|f_{a(j)})$$

$$p(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{a(1)=0}^{l_{f}} \dots \sum_{a(l_{e})=0}^{l_{f}} \frac{\epsilon}{(l_{f}+1)^{l_{e}}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_{e}} t(e_{j}|f_{a(j)})$$
$$= \frac{\epsilon}{(l_{f}+1)^{l_{e}}} \sum_{a(1)=0}^{l_{f}} \dots \sum_{a(l_{e})=0}^{l_{f}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_{e}} t(e_{j}|f_{a(j)})$$
$$= \frac{\epsilon}{(l_{f}+1)^{l_{e}}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_{e}} \sum_{i=0}^{l_{f}} t(e_{j}|f_{i})$$

- Note the algebra trick in the last line
 - removes the need for an exponential number of products
 - this makes IBM Model 1 estimation tractable

The Trick

$$(\text{case } I_{e} = I_{f} = 2)$$

$$\sum_{a(1)=0}^{2} \sum_{a(2)=0}^{2} = \frac{\epsilon}{3^{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{2} t(e_{j}|f_{a(j)}) =$$

$$= t(e_{1}|f_{0}) t(e_{2}|f_{0}) + t(e_{1}|f_{0}) t(e_{2}|f_{1}) + t(e_{1}|f_{0}) t(e_{2}|f_{2}) +$$

$$+ t(e_{1}|f_{1}) t(e_{2}|f_{0}) + t(e_{1}|f_{1}) t(e_{2}|f_{1}) + t(e_{1}|f_{1}) t(e_{2}|f_{2}) +$$

$$+ t(e_{1}|f_{2}) t(e_{2}|f_{0}) + t(e_{1}|f_{2}) t(e_{2}|f_{1}) + t(e_{1}|f_{2}) t(e_{2}|f_{2}) =$$

$$= t(e_{1}|f_{0}) (t(e_{2}|f_{0}) + t(e_{2}|f_{1}) + t(e_{2}|f_{2})) +$$

$$+ t(e_{1}|f_{1}) (t(e_{2}|f_{1}) + t(e_{2}|f_{1}) + t(e_{2}|f_{2})) +$$

$$+ t(e_{1}|f_{2}) (t(e_{2}|f_{2}) + t(e_{2}|f_{1}) + t(e_{2}|f_{2})) =$$

$$= (t(e_{1}|f_{0}) + t(e_{1}|f_{1}) + t(e_{1}|f_{2})) (t(e_{2}|f_{2}) + t(e_{2}|f_{1}) + t(e_{2}|f_{2}))$$

• Combine what we have:

$$p(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}) = p(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{a}|\mathbf{f}) / p(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f})$$
$$= \frac{\frac{\epsilon}{(l_{f}+1)^{l_{e}}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_{e}} t(e_{j}|f_{a(j)})}{\frac{\epsilon}{(l_{f}+1)^{l_{e}}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_{e}} \sum_{i=0}^{l_{f}} t(e_{j}|f_{i})}$$
$$= \prod_{j=1}^{l_{e}} \frac{t(e_{j}|f_{a(j)})}{\sum_{i=0}^{l_{f}} t(e_{j}|f_{i})}$$

- Now we have to collect counts
- Evidence from a sentence pair e,f that word e is a translation of word f:

$$c(e|f;\mathbf{e},\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{a} p(a|\mathbf{e},\mathbf{f}) \sum_{j=1}^{l_e} \delta(e,e_j) \delta(f,f_{a(j)})$$

$$c(e|f; \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}) = \frac{t(e|f)}{\sum_{i=0}^{l_{f}} t(e|f_{i})} \sum_{j=1}^{l_{e}} \delta(e, e_{j}) \sum_{i=0}^{l_{f}} \delta(f, f_{i})$$

- Now we have to collect counts
- Evidence from a sentence pair e,f that word e is a translation of word f:

$$c(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f};\mathbf{e},\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{a} p(a|\mathbf{e},\mathbf{f}) \sum_{j=1}^{l_{e}} \delta(e,e_{j}) \delta(f,f_{a(j)})$$

$$c(e|f; \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}) = \frac{t(e|f)}{\sum_{i=0}^{l_{f}} t(e|f_{i})} \sum_{j=1}^{l_{e}} \delta(e, e_{j}) \sum_{i=0}^{l_{f}} \delta(f, f_{i})$$

- Now we have to collect counts
- Evidence from a sentence pair e,f that word e is a translation of word f:

$$c(e|\mathbf{f};\mathbf{e},\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{a} p(a|\mathbf{e},\mathbf{f}) \sum_{j=1}^{l_e} \delta(e,e_j) \delta(f,f_{a(j)})$$

$$c(e|f; \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}) = \frac{t(e|f)}{\sum_{i=0}^{l_{f}} t(e|f_{i})} \sum_{j=1}^{l_{e}} \delta(e, e_{j}) \sum_{i=0}^{l_{f}} \delta(f, f_{i})$$

- Now we have to collect counts
- Evidence from a sentence pair e,f that word e is a translation of word f:

$$c(e|f; \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}) = \sum_{a} p(a|\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}) \sum_{j=1}^{l_e} \delta(e, e_j) \delta(f, f_{a(j)})$$

$$c(e|f; \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}) = \frac{t(e|f)}{\sum_{i=0}^{l_{f}} t(e|f_{i})} \sum_{j=1}^{l_{e}} \delta(e, e_{j}) \sum_{i=0}^{l_{f}} \delta(f, f_{i})$$

- Now we have to collect counts
- Evidence from a sentence pair e,f that word e is a translation of word f:

$$c(e|f; \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}) = \sum_{a} p(a|\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}) \sum_{j=1}^{l_e} \delta(e, e_j) \delta(f, f_{a(j)})$$

$$c(e|f; \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}) = \frac{t(e|f)}{\sum_{i=0}^{l_{f}} t(e|f_{i})} \sum_{j=1}^{l_{e}} \delta(e, e_{j}) \sum_{i=0}^{l_{f}} \delta(f, f_{i})$$

After collecting these counts over a corpus, we can estimate the model:

$$t(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f}; \text{Training Corpus}) = \frac{\sum_{(\mathbf{e},\mathbf{f})} c(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f}; \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}))}{\sum_{\mathbf{f}'} \sum_{(\mathbf{e},\mathbf{f})} c(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f}'; \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}))}$$

To compute the probability of "keyboard"

After collecting these counts over a corpus, we can estimate the model:

$$t(e|f; \text{Training Corpus}) = \frac{\sum_{(e,f)} c(e|f; e, f)}{\sum_{f'} \sum_{(e,f)} c(e|f'; e, f)}$$

Being translated from "Tastatur"

After collecting these counts over a corpus, we can estimate the model:

$$t(e|f; \text{Training Corpus}) = \frac{\sum_{(e,f)} c(e|f; e, f)}{\sum_{f'} \sum_{(e,f)} c(e|f'; e, f))}$$

Go over all of the training data in your corpus (translated sentence pairs)

After collecting these counts over a corpus, we can estimate the model:

$$t(e|f; \text{Training Corpus}) = \frac{\sum_{(e,f)} c(e|f; e, f)}{\sum_{f'} \sum_{(e,f)} c(e|f'; e, f)}$$

Take the expected counts of translating "Tastatur" into "keyboard"

After collecting these counts over a corpus, we can estimate the model:

$$t(e|f; \text{Training Corpus}) = \frac{\sum_{(e,f)} c(e|f; e, f)}{\sum_{f'} \sum_{(e,f)} c(e|f'; e, f)}$$

And divide that by the extected counts of translating "keyboard" from **anything**

1: in	itialize $t(e f)$ uniformly	
2: w	hile not converged do	
3:	▷ initialize	1.
4:	count(e f) = 0 for all e, f	
5:	total(f) = 0 for all f	
6:	for sentence pairs (e,f) do	2:
7:	▷ compute normalization	
8:	for words <i>e</i> in e do	
9:	s-total(e) = 0	3:
10:	for words f in f do	4.
11:	s-total(e) += $t(e f)$	4.
12:	▷ collect counts	
13:	for words e in e do	5:
14:	for words f in f do	
15:	$\operatorname{count}(e f) += \frac{t(e f)}{s - \operatorname{total}(e)}$	
16:	$total(f) += \frac{t(e f)}{s \cdot total(e)}$	

- 1: **while** not converged (cont.) **do**
 - 2: ▷ estimate probabilities
 - 3: **for** foreign words *f* **do**
 - 4: **for** English words

e do

1: initialize $t(e t)$ uniformly 2: while not converged do		
3:	⊳ initialize	
4:	$\operatorname{count}(e f) = 0$ for all e, f	
5:	total(f) = 0 for all f	
6:	for sentence pairs (e,f) do	
7:	compute normalization	
8:	for words e in e do	
9:	s-total(e) = 0	
10:	for words f in f do	
11:	s-total(e) += $t(e f)$	
12:	▷ collect counts	
13:	for words e in e do	
14:	for words f in f do	
15:	$\operatorname{count}(e f) += \frac{t(e f)}{s-\operatorname{total}(e)}$	
16:	$total(f) += \frac{t(e f)}{s-total(e)}$	

- 1: **while** not converged (cont.) **do**
 - 2: ▷ estimate probabilities
 - 3: for foreign words f do
 - 4: **for** English words

e do

1: in	itialize $t(e f)$ uniformly		
2:W	nie not converged do	⊳ initialize	
0. 4∙	$count(e f) = 0$ for all e^{-f}	P Initialize	1:
5:	total(f) = 0 for all f		
6:	for sentence pairs (e,f) do		2:
7:	⊳ cor	npute normalization	
8:	for words e in e do		
9:	s-total(e) = 0		3:
10:	for words f in f do		4.
11:	s-total(e) += $t(e f)$		
12:		collect counts	
13:	for words <i>e</i> in e do		5:
14:	for words f in f do		
15:	$\operatorname{count}(e f) += \frac{t(e f)}{s-\operatorname{total}(e)}$		
16:	$total(f) += \frac{t(e f)}{s-total(e)}$		

- 1: **while** not converged (cont.) **do**
 - 2: ▷ estimate probabilities
 - 3: **for** foreign words *f* **do**
 - 4: **for** English words

e do

1: in	itialize $t(e f)$ uniformly		
2: w	hile not converged do		
3:		▷ initialize	1: 1
4:	$\operatorname{count}(e f) = 0$ for all e, f		
5:	total(f) = 0 for all f		(
6:	for sentence pairs (e,f) do		2:
7:		compute normalization	
8:	for words <i>e</i> in e do		ł
9:	s-total(e) = 0		3:
10:	for words f in f do		٨.
11:	s-total(e) += $t(e f)$)	4.
12:		▷ collect counts	e
13:	for words e in e do		5:
14:	for words f in f do		(
15:	$\operatorname{count}(e f) += \frac{t(e)}{s-tota}$	$\frac{ f }{ a (e) }$	-
16:	$total(f) += \frac{t(e f)}{s-total(e)}$	· · ·	

- 1: **while** not converged (cont.) **do**
 - 2: ▷ estimate probabilities
 - 3: **for** foreign words *f* **do**
 - 4: **for** English words

e do

Convergence





е	f	initial	1st it.	2nd it.	 final
the	das	0.25	0.5	0.6364	 1
book	das	0.25	0.25	0.1818	 0
house	das	0.25	0.25	0.1818	 0
the	buch	0.25	0.25	0.1818	 0
book	buch	0.25	0.5	0.6364	 1
а	buch	0.25	0.25	0.1818	 0
book	ein	0.25	0.5	0.4286	 0
а	ein	0.25	0.5	0.5714	 1
the	haus	0.25	0.5	0.4286	 0
house	haus	0.25	0.5	0.5714	 1

Ensuring Fluent Output

- Our translation model cannot decide between small and little
- Sometime one is preferred over the other:
 - small step: 2,070,000 occurrences in the Google index
 - little step: 257,000 occurrences in the Google index
- Language model
 - estimate how likely a string is English
 - based on n-gram statistics

$$p(\mathbf{e}) = p(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)$$

= $p(e_1)p(e_2|e_1)\dots p(e_n|e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1})$
 $\simeq p(e_1)p(e_2|e_1)\dots p(e_n|e_{n-2}, e_{n-1})$

Noisy Channel Model

- We would like to integrate a language model
- Bayes rule

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{e}} p(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f}) &= \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{e}} \frac{p(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{e}) p(\mathbf{e})}{p(\mathbf{f})} \\ &= \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{e}} p(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{e}) p(\mathbf{e}) \end{aligned}$$

Noisy Channel Model



Applying Bayes rule also called noisy channel model

- we observe a distorted message R (here: a foreign string f)
- we have a model on how the message is distorted (here: translation model)
- we have a model on what messages are probably (here: language model)
- we want to recover the original message S (here: an English string e)

Higher IBM Models

-	
IBM Model 1	lexical translation
IBM Model 2	adds absolute reordering model
IBM Model 3	adds fertility model
IBM Model 4	relative reordering model
IBM Model 5	fixes deficiency

- Only IBM Model 1 has global maximum
 - □ training of a higher IBM model builds on previous model
- Computionally biggest change in Model 3
 - trick to simplify estimation does not work anymore
 - \rightarrow exhaustive count collection becomes computationally too expensive
 - sampling over high probability alignments is used instead

Legacy

- IBM Models were the pioneering models in statistical machine translation
- Introduced important concepts
 - generative model
 - EM training
 - reordering models
- Only used for niche applications as translation model
- ... but still in common use for word alignment (e.g., GIZA++ toolkit)

Word Alignment

Given a sentence pair, which words correspond to each other?



Word Alignment?



Is the English word does aligned to

the German wohnt (verb) or nicht (negation) or neither?

Word Alignment?



How do the idioms kicked the bucket and biss ins grass match up? Outside this exceptional context, bucket is never a good translation for grass

Summary

- Lexical translation
- Alignment
- Expectation Maximization (EM) Algorithm
- Noisy Channel Model
- IBM Models
- Word Alignment

Summary

- Lexical translation
- Alignment
- Expectation Maximization (EM) Algorithm
- Noisy Channel Model
- IBM Models
- Word Alignment
- Alternate models next